

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,277	11/17/2003	Ajoy Inder Singh	14423DIV	1470	
293 75	590 07/13/2004			EXAMINER	
DOWELL & DOWELL PC SUITE 309 1215 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			3731		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(w)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/713,277	SINGH, AJOY INDER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael G. Mendoza	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	<u>ovember 2003</u> .					
,-	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-20 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>7-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	☐ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	animor. Note the attached emee					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 2						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>17 November 2003</u> .	6)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/713,277

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the figures are hand drawn. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "at least one blade mounted on at least one pressure sensing transducer" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "a mechanical ablation apparatus comprising single or multiple sharp microtome blades mounted on piezo-electric pressure transducers" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/713,277

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Regarding claim 20, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "micro-electromechanical" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sinofsky et al. 5254112.
- 8. Sinofsky et al. teaches an apparatus for relieving arterial blockage by increasing flexibility of an arterial, the apparatus comprising: surveying means (col. 1, lines 39-54); and an external ablating means (col. 1, lines 4-5) fully capable of ablating an exterior of the arterial wall.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/713,277

Art Unit: 3731

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Remarks

- 10. The recitation "without entering into a lumen of an artery" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
- 11. The recitation "without entering into a lumen of an artery" does not result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and Sinofsky et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,277

Art Unit: 3731

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (703) 305-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-4304. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

MM

MM July 12, 2004 GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINEP